<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of site</th>
<th>Site are) (ha)</th>
<th>Possible capacity (no. dwellings)</th>
<th>Comments from Natural England</th>
<th>RBC Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land associated with the River Thames Scheme</td>
<td>Approx. 20</td>
<td>Approx. 1000</td>
<td>Positive comments received stating that in general, Natural England thought it was an attractive site in principle and there should be no problem with creating SANG at the site.</td>
<td>Preferred option for SANG delivery. Site is suitable and detailed designs have been considered by Natural England. Would support the viability of the RTS as the Council could take over the management of the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land north of Hollow Lane</td>
<td>Approx. 9</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>Assuming the public right of way to the north is just outside the site, if so visitor surveys wouldn’t be required.</td>
<td>Publicly owned land, currently being managed, so would not be available in the short term. Land adjacent to land owned by one of the allocations, so together could provide a site of 10 ha or more.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land adjacent St Anns Hill SANG</td>
<td>10.85</td>
<td>150+</td>
<td>Very close proximity to both the M3 and M25 &amp; major junction so noise intrusion seems likely and could prevent this site from being suitable. Would require noise surveys. The accepted noise limit for SANGs is now set at 60dB. If any areas are above this then these may need to be discounted from the SANG capacity unless mitigation e.g. acoustic fencing could reduce this.</td>
<td>Council-owned, currently being managed so would not be available in the short term. On Natural England’s advice, the whole of the site has not been assumed to be suitable; however, could provide an extension to existing SANG, which would make this site more appealing. No public access on to site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEFRA owned land</td>
<td>Extent unknown</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>Not yet discussed with Natural England</td>
<td>Excess publicly owned land. Extensive land in the ownership of DEFRA in the Woodham/New Haw area. Discussions taking place with DEFRA and it is understood they are willing to make land available for such a purpose.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Allocations | Extent | unknown | Not discussed with Natural England | Chilsey Green Farm, part of Pyrcroft Road allocation have stated in their response to the Inspector Questions that the client could provide SANG. However, the location and extent of land is currently unknown.

There is another allocation whereby the agent for the site has set out that options have been explored to provide SANG for the site in Runnymede, but details are currently unknown by officers.

Fairoaks airport | 53 | unknown | Due to the potential size of the development here and proximity to the SPA they would be required to over-provide on SANG in order to mitigate their own development i.e. above the 8ha per 1,000 minimum requirement. However, from our initial discussions it looks possible that there could still be remaining capacity available above their requirements. Perhaps a site to keep an eye on although it may not be totally reliable.

*Since this time, agent states that discussions with NE have been encouraging in relation to SANG provision.*

| Pre-application | Unknown | unknown | Not discussed with Natural England | Application being considered by Surrey Heath and Runnymede Borough Councils. If site were granted, additional SANG land could be made available to meet Runnymede's needs; however, delivery of SANG associated with the airport is not something the Council relies on.

If the application was refused, there is still the possibility that SANG could be delivered at this site.

Pre-app known to have been undertaken with landowners of specific sites, in which SANG provision in excess of what would be required for site was discussed.

However, no application has been submitted. This does, however, highlight that over the
course of the Plan, further SANG could come forward.