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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glossary</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bricks and mortar</strong></td>
<td>Permanent mainstream housing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Caravan</strong></td>
<td>Mobile living vehicles also referred to as trailers or touring caravans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Concealed households</strong></td>
<td>Someone living within a household but wanting to move to their own accommodation to form a separate household (e.g. adult children, 18 years old and above, living at home). Sometimes referred to as suppressed households.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gypsy/Gypsies and Travellers</strong></td>
<td>Persons of nomadic habit of life whatever their race or origin, including such persons who on grounds only of their own or their family’s or dependants’ educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel temporarily or permanently, but excluding members of an organised group of travelling showpeople or circus people travelling together as such. ¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Household</strong></td>
<td>One person or a group of people (not necessarily related) living at the same address, in one or more mobile homes and/or caravans, and sharing at least one meal a day and housekeeping costs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mobile Home</strong></td>
<td>Legally a caravan but not normally capable of being moved by towing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pitch</strong></td>
<td>A piece of land which generally accommodates one mobile home and one touring Gypsy caravan, amenity and storage space, amenity building and parking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plot</strong></td>
<td>A piece of land of unspecified size which accommodates Travelling Showpeople’s caravans, trailers, mobile homes and sometimes equipment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Settled community</strong></td>
<td>Reference to non-travellers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transit site</strong></td>
<td>A permanent site intended for short-term temporary use by travellers on the move.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Travelling Showpeople</strong></td>
<td>Members of a group organised for the purposes of holding fairs, circuses or shows (whether or not travelling together as such). This includes such persons who on the grounds of their own or their family’s or dependant’s more localised pattern of trading, educational or health needs or old age have ceased to travel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Planning policy for traveller sites (23rd March 2012).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traveller</td>
<td>Term often used to refer to the ethnic group of Irish Travellers with a heritage of travelling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>travellers</td>
<td>A non-ethnic description for the purposes of planning to encompass all those of a travelling way of life whatever their race or origin. “travellers” means “gypsies and travellers” and “travelling showpeople” as defined above.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unauthorised development</td>
<td>Land privately owned but without the benefit of planning permission.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unauthorised encampment</td>
<td>Land occupied by Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople and their homes but without the benefit of planning permission or the permission of the land owner. Can include land at the side of the road.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

2 Planning policy for traveller sites (23rd March 2012).
3 Term taken from Annex 1 of the planning policy for traveller sites (23rd March 2012).
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 This document sets out the methodology to be used across Surrey when a local planning authority is undertaking a Travellers’ Accommodation Assessments (TAAs) either individually in their own Borough/District or as part of a group of local authorities within Surrey.

1.2 The methodology sets out how the current and future needs of Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople (hereafter referred to as travellers) residing or resorting to areas within Surrey will be assessed in accordance with the Housing Act 2004, and will allow local authorities to derive locally set targets for travellers’ pitches and plots, in line with the Government’s ‘planning policy for traveller sites’ (March 2012).

1.3 The methodology has been developed jointly by all local authorities in Surrey working in partnership in order to ensure a consistent approach across the county when undertaking a TAA. The methodology has also been formulated in consultation with representatives of the travelling community and travellers themselves who reside in Surrey.

Background to the TAA and Surrey Methodology

1.4 Prior to the publication of the planning policy for traveller sites (March 2012), the number of pitches for the travelling community that each local authority needed to provide was to be determined at the regional level by Regional Planning Bodies (RPBs) and through the preparation of Regional Spatial Strategies (RSSs).

1.5 In the South East this was to be determined by a single issue review of the South East Plan on the provision for Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople sites which was being led by the South East England Partnership Board (SEEPB)\(^4\). It was based on the Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessments (GTAAs) that had been undertaken by each local authority or group of local authorities.

1.6 However, prior to the recommendations of an Independent Panel of Government Inspectors being published, which would have set out how many pitches each local planning authority in the South East would need to provide, the Coalition Government came to power and sought to revoke RSSs (i.e. the South East Plan) including the Partial Review of Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation.

1.7 As set out in the planning policy for traveller sites, it is now the responsibility of the local authorities to identify the number of travellers’ pitches/plots that will be required based on local needs assessments.

1.8 In light of these issues and the planning policy statement for traveller sites, the 11 Boroughs and Districts in Surrey have undertaken to prepare this methodology to aid the assessment of travellers’ accommodation needs Surrey.

1.9 In preparing the methodology the local authorities in Surrey have taken into account:

\(^4\) Formally the South East England Regional Assembly (SEERA).
the aims and requirements of the planning policy for traveller sites (March 2012).
- criticisms of the Panel of Inspectors who considered the evidence submitted as part of the South East Plan Partial Review of Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation.\(^5\)
- a best practice example of assessing the needs of Gypsies and Travellers as identified by the Panel of Inspectors.

**Next Steps**

1.10 Using this methodology each local authority will undertake a TAA either individually, in their own Borough/District, or as part of a group of local authorities within Surrey.

1.11 Once completed the TAA will form part of the evidence of travellers’ accommodation need for the next fifteen years and the wider housing work of each local authority by providing a clear understanding of the accommodation issues face travellers in their respective areas and across Surrey.

1.12 As part of each local authority’s plan-making functions sufficient sites will need to be identified and allocated to meet the level of need for travellers’ pitches/plots as evidenced by the TAA.

1.13 In identifying future sites for travellers’ accommodation, each local authority will need to work with the travelling community and their representatives, the settled community and other stakeholders including neighbouring authorities and the County Council, to ensure the timely delivery of pitches/plots.

\(^5\) Following a Freedom of Information request submitted to the Government the draft Panel Report was published. Section 3 of this report outlines the Panel’s observations on the Surrey GTAAs and best practice.
2.0 ASSESSING THE NEEDS OF TRAVELLERS: THE PLANNING POLICY CONTEXT

National Planning Policy and Guidance

Planning policy for traveller sites

2.1 National policy and guidance in respect of planning for travellers is set out in the Government’s “planning policy for traveller sites” (March 2012). The publication of the planning policy replaces Circular 01/2006 ‘Planning for Gypsy and Traveller Caravan Sites’ and Circular 04/2007 ‘Planning for Travelling Showpeople’.

2.2 The aim of the planning policy is to provide a light-touch strategy putting the provision of new pitches and plots into the hands of local authorities. It seeks to ensure fair and consistent treatment for travellers, in a way that supports the traditional and nomadic way of life of travellers while respecting the interests of the settled community.

2.3 To help achieve this, the Government’s aims in respect of the planning policy are:

- that local planning authorities should make their own assessment of need for the purposes of planning
- to ensure that local planning authorities work collaboratively, develop fair and effective strategies to meet need through the identification of land for sites
- to encourage local planning authorities to plan for sites over a reasonable timescale
- that plan-making and decision taking should protect the Green Belt from inappropriate development
- to promote more private traveller site provision while recognising that there will always be those travellers who cannot provide their own sites
- that plan-making and decision-taking should aim to reduce the number of unauthorised developments and encampments and make enforcement more effective
- for local planning authorities to ensure that their Local Plan includes fair, realistic and inclusive polices
- to increase the number of traveller sites in appropriate locations with planning permission, to address under provision and maintain an appropriate level of supply
- to reduce tensions between settled and traveller communities in plan-making and planning decisions
- to enable provision of suitable accommodation from which travellers can access education, health, welfare and employment infrastructure
- for local planning authorities to have due regard to the protection of local amenity and local environment

2.4 The planning policy contains two policies which relate to the assessment of the need and future provision of new sites. Policy A deals with ‘Using evidence to plan positively and manage development’ and Policy B provides advice on ‘Planning for traveller sites’. The main thrust of these policies is to:
give local planning authorities the freedom and responsibility to determine the right level of traveller site provision in their area in consultation with local communities, while ensuring fairness in the planning system

enable local planning authorities to make their own assessment of need for the purposes of planning based on robust evidence of local need

remove the specific reference and requirement for Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessments (GTAA)

enable local planning authorities to use their assessment of need to set their own targets for pitch/plot provision

encourage local planning authorities to plan for sites over a reasonable timescale and identify sufficient deliverable sites to deliver site need in the first five years.

2.5 Policies A and B are outlined in full in Appendix 1.

The National Planning Policy Framework

2.6 The Government states that the planning policy for traveller sites should be read in conjunction with the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) so far as relevant.

2.7 The Government’s National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published on 27th March 2012. It is a key part of Government reforms to make the planning system less complex and more accessible, and to protect the environment while promoting sustainable growth.

2.8 The NPPF is now critical in formulating planning proposals at a local level, as it sets out the overarching policy priorities for the planning system, against which local plans are being prepared and decisions made on planning applications. The policies in the Framework apply with immediate effect and replace Planning Policy Guidance Notes (PPGs) and Planning Policy Statements (PPSs).

2.9 The NPPF directs local planning authorities to the planning policy for traveller sites when assessing the accommodation needs of travellers. The NPPF will however, be an important consideration when identifying sites for travellers’ accommodation having completed a needs assessment. This will be in parallel with local planning policies which guide site selection.

The Housing Act 2004

2.10 Whilst there have been numerous changes occurring to the planning policy framework at the national level, the requirement to assess the accommodation needs of the travelling community remains firmly embedded in the Housing Act 2004.

2.11 The Act requires local housing authorities to include travellers in their accommodation assessments and to take a strategic approach, including drawing up a strategy demonstrating how the accommodation needs of travellers will be met.

Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessments Guidance (GTAAs) (CLG, 2007)
2.12 Whilst the Government’s NPPF replaces a plethora of Planning Policy Statements (PPSs) and Guidance Notes (PPGs), a number of guidance documents still remain. This includes the Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Assessment Guidance (2007).

2.13 It is acknowledged that the GTAA guidance is expected to be formally withdrawn by the Government and is unlikely to be replaced. However, some sections have been considered relevant during the preparing of this methodology and should be considered when completing the TAA.

2.14 Particularly useful to this formation of this methodology and the undertaking of the TAA are the following points:

- The guidance defines accommodation need as households who are unable to access suitable housing without some financial assistance and notes that the particular lifestyle and culture of Gypsies and Travellers can give rise to distinctive accommodation needs (see paragraph 5.4 of this methodology for further details).

- The guidance notes that careful consideration should be given to the appropriate timing for the survey as the caravan count consistently shows higher numbers on unauthorised encampments, and lower numbers on permanent residential sites, in the summer. The guidance states that it is also likely that numbers in housing will be lower in the summer.

- When assessing future need accurate projections are likely to be more difficult. Analysis of changing demand (which may be expressed through unauthorised sites or low demand for authorised sites) will provide further information but it should be possible to identify:
  - the intentions of those households planning to move which may free up spare pitch or bricks and mortar capacity;
  - the likely rate of household formation and annual population increase; and
  - travelling patterns within the survey area and in and out of surrounding areas.
3.0 ADDRESSING THE CRITICISMS OF PREVIOUS GTAAS AND USING EXAMPLES OF BEST PRACTICE

3.1 To ensure that the data and information collated on the need for future accommodation for travellers is robust and credible, the Surrey authorities have taken into account the following when preparing this methodology:

- the requirements set out in national policies and guidance.
- criticisms of previous accommodation assessments.
- good practice guidance in assessing the accommodation needs of travellers.

3.2 The planning policy context to the assessment of travellers accommodation needs is set out in Section 2 of this report. This section therefore reflects on the criticisms of previous accommodation assessments and good practice guidance.

Criticisms of previous GTAAs

The draft Panel Report

3.3 The draft Panel Report into the partial review of the South East Plan was released after a Freedom of Information request by a number of Gypsy and Traveller representatives. Whilst it has no weight in any statutory process, and it is clearly unfinished, it provides a useful critique of the GTAAs undertaken in Surrey and indeed across the South East.

3.4 The draft report noted that all but one local authority in the South East (Chichester DC) appointed external consultants to undertake their GTAA and that most were completed before the final CLG Guidance was published in October 2007.

3.3 The draft report indicated that in some cases too much reliance had been placed on the CLG Caravan Count, which is widely regarded as a less than robust source of evidence of the number of pitches, households and caravans in any area and is at best useful as an indicator of trends in the number of caravans over time.

3.4 The draft report noted that many of the survey questionnaires were unnecessarily complex and poorly worded, with some consultants concluding that their questions did not provide clear findings, were too variable and resulted in some double counting. Many of the questions were irrelevant.

3.5 The draft report emphasised that the simplest GTAAs were often the most robust. Some were considered to be over complex, adopting complicated mathematical formulae, and made assumptions which bore little obvious relationship to the circumstances of travellers and local conditions.

3.5 In preparing this Surrey methodology, the 11 Surrey authorities sought to learn from the criticisms of the earlier GTAAs\(^6\) as set out in paragraph 3.10 of this methodology.

---

\(^6\) The criticisms of previous GTAAs are outlined in Appendix 2 of this methodology
Good practice in assessing the accommodation needs of travellers

Chichester District Council - GTAA 2007

3.6 The draft Panel Report emphasised that the simplest GTAAs, such as the Chichester example were often the most robust. In preparing the Surrey methodology and this report, consideration has been given to the Panel’s observations of the Chichester GTAA which has also been reviewed). The key points are summarised below.

3.7 Chichester District Council prepared their GTAA with assistance from several Council departments, Friends Families and Travellers, the County Council Traveller Education Service (TES), the Primary Care Trust, a sites manager and a resident local authority site warden and a planning consultant with considerable expertise in traveller matters. It was prepared over a period of 11 months in 2006 and clearly understood both the local circumstances and the accommodation needs of local travellers from first hand knowledge. The fieldwork was undertaken by officers from the Housing Department with significant face-to-face interview skills and input from the local traveller community.

3.8 The South East Plan Partial Review Draft Report of the Panel made a number of positive comments about the Chichester GTAA:

- it was based on knowledge of individual sites,
- it asked a relatively small number of focused and unambiguous questions,
- it was closely based on the CLG Guidance,
- it showed a clear link between the survey findings and the needs assessment in the various categories,
- it applied logical and well-reasoned assumptions where necessary and was transparent in its working,
- it did not rely on any Caravan Count data or assumptions or findings from elsewhere.

3.9 The Chichester GTAA example followed a very simple methodology; an analysis of existing policy and data on travellers, followed by a well designed, detailed questionnaire and a short section on conclusions, and a section on key issues to be addressed in the traveller Strategy.

Overcoming previous criticisms and using best practice

3.10 The criticisms of the Panel and the lessons of good practice from the Chichester GTAA were taken into account when drawing up this methodology. In particular the Surrey authorities have/will:

Joint-Working

- worked and will continue to work together and with the County Council to ensure that a consistent approach to assessing the needs of travellers for both permanent and transit sites in Surrey is undertaken.
- utilised and will continue to utilise the experience, knowledge and existing relationships that Gypsy and Traveller liaison officers and site managers have with
travellers in Surrey to pass on information and introduce those conducting the questionnaires.

- Attended and will continue to attend meetings of the Surrey Gypsy and Traveller Community Relations Forum to raise awareness of the TAA and building working relationships/contacts.
- engaged the travelling community and their representatives in drafting the questionnaire and draft methodology.

**Questionnaire – Simplification**

- simplified the questionnaire to reduce the number questions asked in previous GTAAs to 21 questions.  
- ensured that when drawing up the questionnaire that each of the questions asked had a specific purpose e.g. provided information/data to help assess the need for pitches/plots, rather than gathering information for information sake.
- restricted the purpose of the TAA to identifying the number of new pitches/plots required in each local authority area. This will reduce the complexity of the TAA and remove questions relating to income and site conditions which some travellers previously found intrusive.

**Conventional/Bricks and Mortar Housing**

- follow good practice guidance published by Shelter on identifying and working with travellers in bricks and mortar housing.
- attended and will continue to attend the Surrey Gypsy and Traveller Community Relations Forum to build working relationships with travellers and to identify anyone living in bricks and mortar housing.
- ask those travellers living on-site as part of the questionnaire whether they knew anyone in bricks and mortar housing that could be interviewed.

**Assumptions Applied**

- not over-rely on assumptions or findings from elsewhere e.g. local levels of turnover, family formation and overcrowding, and that locally evidenced figures will be applied rather than applying a regional figure.
- ensure that any assumptions made can be rigorously justified and are based on local evidence/knowledge and that these assumptions will be discussed with the travellers’ community.

**The TAA Report**

- ensure that the TAA report is not overcomplicated and that a clear link between the questionnaire findings and the levels of needs identified is clearly established.

---

7 It should also be noted that for some respondents not all questions were relevant. This has also added to the reduction in the number of questions asked of some travellers.

8 Shelter – Good practice guide: Working with housed Gypsies and Travellers (February 2008)
• provide a draft TAA to the travelling community to ensure that there is support for the number of pitches/plots identified as being needed before it is finalised.

3.11 Central to the completion of the TAA has been the involvement and engagement of the traveller communities. Section 4 of this report outlines further details of how travellers were engaged with drafting the questionnaire and methodology.
4.0 ENGAGING WITH TRAVELLERS IN PREPARING THIS METHODOLOGY

4.1 Throughout the preparation of a TAA it is important for there to be continuous engagement with travellers and their representatives. Engagement with travellers has taken place when formulating this methodology and when preparing the TAA questionnaire.

4.2 The aim of this methodology is to ensure that the level of consultation with travellers is an on-going programme, is appropriate and also accessible. Engaging with travellers from the outset is the approach advocated in Policy A of the planning policy for traveller sites which states:

“In assembling the evidence base necessary to support their planning approach, local planning authorities should:

a) pay particular attention to early and effective community engagement with both settled and traveller communities (including discussing travellers’ accommodation needs with travellers themselves, their representative bodies and local support groups).

b) Co-operate with travellers, their representative bodies and local support groups, other local authorities and relevant interest groups to prepare and maintain an up-to-date understanding of the likely permanent and transit accommodation needs of their areas over the lifespan of their development plan working collaboratively with neighbouring local planning authorities...”

Formulating the questionnaire

4.3 The TAA questionnaire is a critical element of the Surrey methodology. It was drawn up in consultation with travellers’ representatives and travellers themselves. Officers representing the 11 Surrey authorities attended the Surrey Gypsy and Traveller Community Relations Forum in June 2011 to explain the questionnaire, forthcoming consultation, and why it was important for travellers to participate.

4.4 Consultation on the draft questionnaire took place for just over five weeks between Wednesday 24th August and Friday 23rd September 2011. A list of those consulted is outlined in Appendix 3 of this report.

4.5 A good level of response was received from travellers with Surrey and their representative groups. Their responses were incorporated into the questionnaire (see Appendix 4) and feedback given on the issues that had been raised.

Formulating the methodology

4.6 Input was also sought from travellers and their representative groups on the draft methodology for undertaking the assessments.
4.7 Consultation on the draft methodology took place for three weeks between Monday 20\textsuperscript{th} February and Friday 9\textsuperscript{th} March 2012. A list of those consulted is outlined in Appendix 3 of this report.

4.8 A good level of response was received from travellers within Surrey and their representative groups. Their responses have been incorporated into finalising this methodology which is being used by all Surrey authorities when undertaking the TAA.
5.0 PREPARING A TRAVELLERS’ ACCOMMODATION ASSESSMENT IN SURREY

The aims of an assessment

5.1 In accordance with the planning policy for traveller site, the aims of an assessment are to:-

a) Create a robust, transparent and consistent evidence base to identify the need for travellers’ pitches/plots in the study area.

b) Identify current levels of accommodation provision for travellers.

c) Identify current levels of need, including overcrowding, concealed households, households living in bricks and mortar housing but wishing to live on sites, identifying households living on sites but wishing to live in bricks and mortar housing.

d) Show household preferences in terms of the location, tenure and type of accommodation sought.

e) Identify the size and type of accommodation provision needed, including the demand for authorised sites (both static and transit) and for permanent affordable housing provision.

f) Identify future levels of accommodation need over a fifteen year period.

g) Inform the development of future housing and planning policies at a local area.

h) Inform the understanding of the need for permanent and transit\(^9\) pitches and plots.

Defining accommodation need

5.2 The key aim of a TAA is to identify the need for travellers’ accommodation within the study area.

5.3 The starting point for the definition of accommodation need is housing required for households who are unable to access suitable housing without financial assistance. However, the conventional definition of housing need relies heavily on an assessment of affordability and an understanding of the “market” for accommodation within the study area.

5.4 In terms of travellers’ accommodation needs, the standard definition of need\(^10\) requires some adjustment to take account of households:-

- Who have no authorised site on which to reside;
- Whose existing site accommodation is overcrowded or unsuitable and are unable to obtain more suitable accommodation;

---

\(^9\) Policies A and B of the planning policy for traveller sites states that transit sites should be considered

\(^10\) Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessments, CLG, 2007
• Who contain suppressed households who are unable to set up separate family units, and are unable to access a place on an authorised site, or to afford land to develop one;
• Who are in bricks and mortar accommodation but whose existing accommodation is overcrowded or unsuitable\(^{11}\) (which may include unsuitability by virtue of proven psychological aversion to bricks and mortar accommodation).

5.5 It should also be recognised that shortage of sites, local hostility and lack of income may all prevent travellers from exercising a free choice in the accommodation market.

The Surrey methodology

5.6 The methodology being taken across Surrey to identify the accommodation needs of travellers and meet the above aims, has been broken down into three distinct phases. These are:

• Phase 1 - desktop review
• Phase 2 - interviews with travellers
• Phase 3 - review and conclusions

5.7 Each phase in the process of carrying out the TAA is outlined in more detail in the following sections.

\(^{11}\) Unsuitable housing is defined in ‘Strategic Housing Market Assessments – Practice Guidance’ CLG 2007 Table 5.1 pg 41
6.0 PHASE 1 – DESKTOP REVIEW

6.1 The first step in carrying out a TAA is a desktop review of published documentation and policies relating or relevant to travellers in the study area.

Gathering of existing data:

6.2 Collection of historical information held by the local authority and others who work with travellers\(^\text{12}\) including, where available:

- Information on population size and movement of travellers
- Homeless presentations of travellers
- Rehousing data which monitors rehousing of travellers
- Housing register (if this monitors the numbers of travellers)
- Local authority site waiting list information
- Movement of travellers to and from permanent housing
- Data on public and private authorised travellers’ sites including information on the number of caravans permitted on each site, whether the planning permission was granted on a permanent or temporary basis, and whether it restricted occupancy to named individuals
- Unauthorised travellers’ sites, encampments and developments including information on the number of caravans and family groups on each site, length of occupation, and the up to date position regarding planning applications, appeals and outcomes and/or enforcement action
- Information on planning applications for travellers’ caravan sites which have been refused planning permission by the local planning authority over the previous 5 years and planning appeal records
- Current planning applications and planning appeals within the study area awaiting determination
- Biannual Gypsy and Traveller caravan counts and annual Travelling Showpeople counts for the study area over the last five years
- Establish if there are any gaps in the data currently collected by housing that could be addressed within the questionnaire.

\(^{12}\) Local stakeholders may include council officers, neighbouring authorities, Surrey County Council, Gypsy and Traveller Education Service, Registered Providers, NHS Surrey, police, Showmen’s Guild
• Data from other local authorities
• Data on site conditions from local authority and County records and previous GTAAs.
7.0 PHASE 2 – INTERVIEWS WITH TRAVELLERS

Research by Interview

7.1 In undertaking a TAA it is critical to obtain the views of each traveller household residing in the study area at the time of the study using a set questionnaire which has been produced in consultation with travellers and their representatives.

7.2 The questionnaire aims to:

- Gather information on current tenure types and future needs and tenure preferences for caravan dwelling/movement between housing and caravans;
- Gather data on household composition and demography including patterns of extended family living;
- Identify migration patterns and transient settlement patterns;
- Gather information to ascertain the expected rate of new household formation and composition;
- Ascertain the degree to which housing register and site waiting lists reflect accommodation need.

7.3 A sample questionnaire is shown in Appendix 4.

7.4 Research by interview is the preferred approach to engaging with travellers as it:

- maximises the response rate.
- allows the opportunity to untangle any complex issues that may arise including the purpose of the questionnaire and TAA.
- overcomes any literacy issues with completing a questionnaire independently.

7.5 It is suggested that prior to the interviews publicity for the TAA is raised within the travellers’ community by:

- visiting sites a few weeks prior to the interviews to explain the purpose of the TAA and encourage involvement. This could include arranging a suitable time to return to the site when most residents will be available to be interviewed. It is recommended that those undertaking the questionnaire visit sites with someone who is known to and trusted by the site’s residents e.g. a Gypsy Liaison Officer, County Council Officer.
- working with the Surrey Gypsy and Travellers Community Relations Forum and other representative groups to encourage involvement in the process and address any concerns that may arise.

7.6 Visiting sites and communicating verbally with travellers should be supplemented by council publications, press releases and the use of twitter and websites where appropriate. It is recommended that sites are leafleted with basic information about the process and purpose of the interviews. Additional leaflets should be left on-sites to be passed onto those travellers living in bricks and mortar accommodation within the study area along with contact details if they wish to be interviewed.
7.7 It is critical to avoid undertaking interviews during key travelling periods e.g. outside of school time in the summer months (July/August) and Gypsy and Traveller events such as the Epsom Derby and Appleby.

7.8 It is also important that interviewers are aware of cultural sensitivities and that these are respected, for example some women may not wish to answer questions, or speak to a male researcher.

7.9 Each local authority should consider who is most appropriate to undertake the interviews e.g. consultants or council officers, taking account of the existing working relationships with travellers and whether these could be utilised or whether a level of separation is required.
8.0 PHASE 3 – REVIEW AND CONCLUSIONS

Outputs from questionnaires

8.1 Based on questionnaire data, the following characteristics of respondents and households can be identified and analysed:

- ethnicity
- composition of household
- how many pitches or plots are occupied by the household
- whether the pitch is shared with another household (doubling-up) and if so for how long
- the type of current accommodation
- the type of accommodation e.g. house, mobile home/chalet, touring caravan or other and the number of bedrooms
- length of time at current address, and if under a year where they lived before
- whether the respondents intend to move in the next five years, and if so where to
- the preferred tenure/accommodation of someone intending to move e.g. affordable housing, local authority pitch/plot, private pitch/plot, privately owned home or privately rented home
- the likely requirement for pitches in the next 5 years including type of accommodation and location.

8.2 The questionnaire will also reveal whether Travelling Showpeople have sufficient room for storage of equipment and where transient travellers have travelled from and why, if they have a base elsewhere, how long they intend to stay and if moved on where will they go to next. This will help ascertain whether they have a need for a permanent or transient accommodation within the study area or in the wider geographical context.

8.3 In addition, the questionnaire will show whether any respondent has any land that they would like to be considered for a new site or additional pitch. This data could potentially feed into site allocations work.

8.4 This information and the output from the desktop review means it is possible to identify:

- whether there is an under provision of travellers’ accommodation within the study area
- whether pitches and plots are overcrowded
- the number, type and tenure of provision needed to meet the needs of travellers now
- the likely future accommodation needs of travellers in the next 5 years with projections beyond
- transient movements
- estimated future household formation

8.5 This information will help to inform planning and housing strategies. It will highlight issues that need to be addressed, as well as providing an opportunity for joint working with other local authorities, the County Council, and travellers and their representatives within the local area to ensure that the identified need is met.
8.6 It is acknowledged that the findings of the questionnaire and study represent a snapshot in time, and the information will need updating through annual monitoring of pitch/plot provision and another study in the future.

**Bringing it altogether**

8.7 The following step by step approach should be taken to populate the information that will be required to identify the current supply and demand for pitches/plots in the study area.

*Response rate to questionnaire / interview request*

8.8 The response rate to the interviews will be recorded in a table as shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. of Gypsy and Travellers</th>
<th>No. of Travelling Showpeople</th>
<th>% of total households identified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople households identified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full interviews completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial interviews completed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interview refused</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property unoccupied</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No-one available to interview</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Estimating the current supply of pitches/plots in the study area**

8.9 The sections below explain how the data from all sources is drawn together and how the components of Table 3, which identifies the total supply and demand for new pitches/plots, is arrived at.

*A. Current supply of County/Local Authority sites*

Local Authority Records

8.10 The location, description, number of occupied and unoccupied plots/pitches and number of households will be recorded as part of the Phase 1 desk top review from local authority records, maps, aerial photographs and local knowledge of sites.

*Interview Data*

---

13 Partial interviews include points raised in comments/discussion with individuals who did not complete the formal questionnaire
8.11 From the interview data the number of pitches/plots/households identified in the questionnaire will be cross referenced with local authority records to avoid double-counting.

B. Current supply of private authorised sites

Local Authority Records

8.12 Details of the sites such as location, description, nature of the planning permission, number of pitches/plots and number of households, vacancies or any pitches/plots that could be brought back into use will be provided from local authority records and liaison with site managers.

Interview Data

8.13 From the interview data the number of pitches/households identified in the questionnaire plus any vacant pitches/plots will be cross referenced with local authority records to avoid double-counting. Potential vacant pitches/plots identified through question 9 and indications that a household may wish to move to another type of accommodation will also be cross referenced with local authority records to avoid double counting.

8.14 Experience shows that the turnover rate for a five year period is likely to be very low and a net gain of pitches/plots will only be achieved if the occupants move out of the local authority’s area or into bricks and mortar housing. As there is no way of anticipating this over a five year period it cannot be included within the calculation. However, the turnover of pitches (if known) could be taken into account when monitoring the provision and delivery of sites.

Table 1: Overall Capacity of Gypsy and Traveller Sites Identified in the study area through Local Authority Records and Interview Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Number of occupied Gypsy/Traveller Pitches</th>
<th>Number of vacant pitches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Combined</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Overall Capacity of Travelling Showpeople Sites Identified in the study area through Local Authority Records and Interview Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Number of occupied Travelling Showpeople Pitches</th>
<th>Number of vacant pitches</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Combined</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### C. Unauthorised developments tolerated for more than 10 years

**Local Authority Records**

8.15 The location, description and number of unauthorised plots/pitches without planning permission that have been tolerated for more than 10 years will be recorded. In most circumstances sites which have been in-situ for over 10 years are immune from enforcement action and therefore count as pitch/plot provision.\(^\text{14}\)

**Interview Data**

8.16 Cross reference Question 6 details with Local Authority data to avoid double-counting.

### D. Number of unused/vacant local authority pitches/plots

**Local Authority Records**

8.17 The location, description and details of vacancies or pitches/plots that could be brought back into use will be recorded from local authority records and local knowledge of sites.

**Interview Data**

8.18 From the interview data the number of vacant pitches/plots will be cross referenced with local authority records to avoid double-counting. Vacant pitches/plots will be identified at the time of the interviews and the interviewer is responsible for checking whether the occupier of the site is away travelling by asking the site manager or neighbours (details on the front page of the questionnaire).

### E. Number of unused/vacant private pitches/plots

**Local Authority Records**

---

\(^{14}\) It should be noted that there are circumstances where enforcement action can be ongoing for more than 10 years, and where, although the site may be being tolerated at this time, enforcement action could be restarted if it were judged appropriate to do so.
8.19 Details of the sites such as location, description, vacancies or any pitches/plots that could be brought back into use will be provided from local authority records and liaison with site managers.

**Interview Data**

8.20 From the interview data the number of vacant pitches/plots will be cross referenced with local authority records to avoid double-counting. Potential vacant pitches/plots identified through question 9 and indications that a household may wish to move to another type of accommodation will also be cross referenced with local authority records to avoid double counting.

8.21 The turnover rate for a five year period is likely to be very low and a net gain of pitches/plots will only be achieved if the occupants move out of the local authority’s area or into bricks and mortar housing. As there is no way of anticipating this over a five year period it cannot be included within the calculation. However, the turnover of pitches (if known) could be taken into account when monitoring the provision and delivery of sites.

**F. Number of existing pitches/plots expected to become vacant in the near future (both LA and privately owned)**

**Local Authority Records**

8.22 Details of the sites such as location, description, and information on any pitches/plots that are expected to become vacant in the near future will be provided from local authority records and liaison with site managers.

**Interview Data**

8.23 From the interview data the number of vacant pitches/plots that are expected to become vacant in the near future will be cross referenced with local authority records to avoid double-counting. Potential vacant pitches/plots identified through question 9 and indications that a household may wish to move to another type of accommodation will also be cross referenced with local authority records to avoid double counting.

**G. Number of households in site accommodation expressing a desire to live in bricks and mortar housing**

**Local Authority Records**

8.24 Through looking at registered social landlord, housing records and waiting lists possible pitches/plots to become vacant through moves to bricks and mortar housing can be recorded.

**Interview Data**

8.25 Details of interviewees desire to move into bricks and mortar housing, thereby freeing up existing pitches/plots can be cross referenced with local authority records to avoid double-
counting. Question 10 (and sub questions) will record the number of households expressing a desire to live in bricks and mortar housing in next five years.

8.26 Question 10 and 11 will provide an indication whether a household wishes to move in the next five years to another type of accommodation and whether the alternative is bricks and mortar or another pitch/plot; if they plan to free-up a pitch/plot by moving into bricks and mortar housing this can be recorded as a pitch/plot likely to become vacant. This will be cross referenced with local authority records to avoid double counting. Question 17 for transient travellers asks if they have a base elsewhere and this information could be shared with the relevant local authority if the vacated base is within Surrey County.

H. Details of any planned local authority public traveller sites to be delivered in year 1

Local Authority Records

8.27 The location, description, number of public plots/pitches and number of households planned to be delivered in year 1 will be recorded. This information will be provided through current planning applications for public sites, extant unimplemented planning permissions and Council strategies on provision of sites.

8.28 Any future provision of local authority pitches/plots can be taken into account through annual monitoring, and the figure extracted from the total number of pitches/plots to be provided.

I. Outstanding planning applications for private pitches/plots (including unauthorised sites) likely to gain permission in year 1

Local Authority Records

8.29 The location, description, number of private plots/pitches likely to gain permanent planning permission in year 1 will be recorded. This may apply to pending planning applications that appear to comply with the relevant planning policies; however it will not prejudice the final determination of the application. Unauthorised pitches/plots which have submitted planning applications to regularise the situation and extant permissions which have not yet been implemented but are likely to be delivered are also to be included within this calculation.

8.30 Any future provision of local authority pitches/plots can be taken into account through annual monitoring, and the figure extracted from the total number of pitches/plots to be provided.

Estimating the current demand for pitches and plots in the local authority area

8.31 Interview data and Local Authority records will be used to build up a picture of current demand (backlog of need and future need) as follows:

J. Households seeking permanent site accommodation in the area
8.32 This section will include data from those on the waiting lists, including households living in overcrowded or unsuitable\textsuperscript{15} bricks and mortar housing expressing a desire to live in on-site accommodation.

8.33 It is acknowledged that those households living in bricks and mortar but with aspirations of owning a private site will be difficult to ascertain. Looking at planning applications for new pitches/plots in the last two years and temporary planning permissions will help give an indication of demand for private pitches/plots. The Shelter Good Practice Guide: Working with housed Gypsies and Travellers (Feb 2008) also makes the following suggestions for identifying households living in bricks and mortar:

- working in conjunction with Gypsy and Traveller Support Groups, or organisations such as the Traveller Education Service. Joint home visits with a trusted service are an effective way to build a relationship.
- regular attendance at a local Gypsy and Traveller forum.
- visiting venues and social events (e.g. horse fairs) where there is likely to be a community presence, and either offering a service, such as housing advice, or taking time to talk to people about the issues that concern them.
- making sure that any information produced is available to the whole community. This includes making information available on CDs and/or DVDs.
- ensure that research is undertaken by people who are aware of the cultural traditions of the community.
- clarity about the procedures around confidentiality and anonymity in order to encourage greater community involvement.

**Interview Data**

8.34 Question 11 of the survey questionnaire (Appendix 3) asks where travellers are thinking of moving to if they plan to move in the next 5 years. The results from individual authorities questionnaires should be cross-referenced, and there is a separate ‘office use only’ box to note different locations for planned moves.

8.35 *Households in bricks and mortar housing.* The definition of need for a pitch/plot is clarified in paragraph 2.14 of this methodology as those in overcrowded or unsuitable bricks and mortar housing. Question 6 clarifies whether a respondents is living in bricks and mortar housing. By looking at responses to Question 2 on household composition and Question 11 on accommodation needs in the next 5 years it is possible to calculate the accommodation requirements of those households in bricks and mortar. Care will need to be taken to avoid double-counting as Question 11 is for future accommodation needs regardless of current accommodation. Overcrowding can be calculated by looking at the responses to Question 2 on composition of household and Question 7 on size of current accommodation.

**K. Current Unauthorised Encampments\textsuperscript{16}**

**Local Authority Records**

\textsuperscript{15} Defined in CLG guidance 2007
\textsuperscript{16} Unauthorised pitches/plots on land not owned by the residents
8.36 Details of the location, description, number of unauthorised plots/pitches on private land not owned by occupants and without planning permission (excluding those that have been tolerated for more than 10 years) and not expected to receive planning permission will be sought from the local authority records and local knowledge of a site.

**Interview Data**

8.37 Responses to Question 4 where respondents are on someone else’s land and without the benefit of planning permission can be cross referenced with local authority records.

**L. Current Unauthorised Developments**\(^{17}\) **for which permanent planning permission is not expected**

**Local Authority Records**

8.38 The location, description, number of unauthorised plots/pitches on occupants own land but without planning permission (excluding those that have been tolerated for more than 10 years) and not expected to receive planning permission as they are contrary to national and local planning policies will be recorded.

**Interview Data**

8.39 Responses to Question 6 where respondents are on their own land but without the benefit of planning permission can be cross referenced with local authority records.

**M. Need arising from overcrowded pitches/plots and concealed households**

**Local Authority data**

8.40 Local authority records and local knowledge of overcrowded sites will be referred to. The size of the pitch/plot and capacity to accommodate further caravans for the household can be assessed. Details on whether the pitch/plot is restricted to a set number of caravans to comply with a site licence, planning condition or injunction can be researched.

**Interview Data**

8.41 Answers to Question 5 will provide details of pitches/plot where two or more households are ‘doubled-up’ e.g. sharing. Question 7 responses will give a number or mobile homes/chalets or tourers on the pitch/plot giving an indication of whether there is overcrowding of the site.

8.42 Overcrowding of a pitch/plot by one large household with insufficient space on the pitch/plot for a further caravan can be assessed by Question 2 (composition of household) and 7 (type and size of accommodation)\(^{18}\) which identifies whether there is a need for a

---

\(^{17}\) Unauthorised pitches/plots on land owned by the residents

\(^{18}\) Overcrowding can be defined by ‘bedroom standard’ criterion – one bedroom to each couple or lone parent, one to each pair of children under 10, one to each pair of children of the same sex over 10, one
larger or additional caravan. The findings will be cross referenced with Question 11 to avoid double-counting.

8.43 Overcrowding of actual mobile homes/chalets, where family numbers have grown to the extent that there is insufficient space for the family within its caravan accommodation (rather than size of pitch/plot) is for the owners of overcrowded accommodation to address as they own and are responsible for the size of their caravan/mobile home/chalet.

8.44 Concealed households: Question 2 (details of ages in household) and Question 11 (household members requiring own pitch/plot in next 5 years) in the questionnaire cross referenced with Question 7 (number of bedrooms) may give an indication of concealed households e.g. those aged 18\textsuperscript{19} or over still living at home but wanting to form a separate household.

\textbf{N. Temporary planning permissions due to expire before 2017}

Local Authority data

8.45 Temporary planning permissions, once they expire, will represent a new need. Many temporary planning permissions have been granted on sites where there is an in principle policy objection but due to the need for more pitches/plots a temporary planning permission is granted; this is often to enable the local authority to provide pitches/plots in more suitable locations before the temporary permission expires. Local authority records will provide details of all the temporary planning permissions due to expire within the five year period that this study covers.

\textbf{O. Newly arising need/ family formations}

8.46 Family formation is addressed in Question 11 which asks the likely requirement for pitches/plots for household members in the next 5 years. Ages of the household can be checked against answers to Question 2. It is possible to calculate the family formation rate for a five year period from the data collected from the interviews. Whilst it is acknowledged this will not be completely accurate if a 100% response rate to the questionnaires is not received, an assessed household formation rate based on the responses to the questionnaire is considered to be a more accurate reflection of local circumstances than a standard percentage increase. Assumptions will need to be made about the age that travellers normally leave home to set up their own household. For the purpose of this study the age of 18 has been used, although it is acknowledged that many

\footnotetext{\textsuperscript{19}Adults are classified by UK law as being 18 and above. There’s no statutory law which states when a young person can leave home although 16 is generally taken as the age when people can leave home.}
Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople will leave home before this age, yet there will also be those that remain at home on the family site for longer.

**Summary of Current Supply and Demand**

8.47 All the above information will feed into the following table, to calculate the current supply and need for pitches/plots.

*Table 3: Summary of Current Supply and Demand (1 pitch/plot per household)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current Residential Supply:</th>
<th>Pitches (Gypsies &amp; Travellers)</th>
<th>Plots (Travelling Showpeople)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Current supply of occupied local authority residential site pitches/plots in local authority area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Current supply of authorised and occupied privately owned site pitches/plots in local authority area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Unauthorised pitches/plots tolerated for more than 10 years</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Households</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Projected supply:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Number of unused/vacant local authority pitches/plots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Number of unused/vacant authorised privately owned pitches/plots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Number of existing pitches/plots expected to become vacant in the near future (LA and privately owned)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Number of households in site pitch/plot accommodation expressing a desire to live in bricks and mortar housing (in next five years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. New local authority pitches/plots planned during year 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. Existing applications for private pitches/plots (including unauthorised sites) likely to gain planning permission during year 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total pitch capacity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current backlog of need:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. Households seeking permanent site accommodation in the area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K. On unauthorised encampments expressing a need to reside in the Borough/District</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. Currently on unauthorised developments for which planning permission is not expected as there is a presumption against development in these areas</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

21 Criteria taken from Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessment guidance CLG 2007
22 Includes those residing on tolerated sites for less than 10 years
M. Currently overcrowded\(^ {23}\) or doubled up.

N. Temporary planning permissions due to expire before 2017

**Current shortfall**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Future need:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>O. Future need: New family formations expected to arise from existing borough households 2012-2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total current residential demand for pitches/plots\(^ {24}\)**

**Demand for extra pitches/plots 2012-2017\(^ {25}\)**

**Finalising the TAA – 15 Year Projection**

8.48 The planning policy for traveller sites states that local authorities need to identify a continuous supply of sites for a 15 year period. It is acknowledged that this will be difficult to forecast accurately.

8.49 To give a broad indication of potential numbers it is proposed to multiply the current number of pitches/plots with a family formation rate of 3\(^ {26}\) for Gypsy and Traveller families and 1.5% for Travelling Showpeople households. These percentages will be applied as compound growth per annum and have been agreed by traveller representatives for Surrey as part of the previous GTAA. Consideration will be given to amending these figures if evidence is presented to showing a varying percentage as part of the TAA.

8.50 Whilst this is not an exact science it will give an indication of likely the number of pitches and plots required in the future. It is anticipated that traveller accommodation needs will be assessed on a regular basis thereby informing the 15 year projection where appropriate.

8.51 In addition, respondents will be asked whether they have or know of land that they would like to be considered for a new site or additional pitch. This data could potentially feed into site allocations development plan work.

**Transit Sites**

8.52 The planning policy for traveller sites (March 2012) sets out the Government’s approach to providing transit sites to facilitate the travel undertaken by travellers to maintain their traditional way of life.

8.53 Contained within Policy A of the planning policy is the requirement for local planning authorities to co-operate with travellers, their representative bodies and local support groups, other local authorities and relevant interest groups to prepare and maintain an up-

---

\(^{23}\) Overcrowding, e.g. where family numbers have grown to the extent that there is now insufficient space on the pitch or site for a further caravan

\(^{24}\) Total current residential demand for pitches/plots = Total current residential demand – Total current supply

\(^{25}\) Demand for extra pitches = Current shortfall + newly arising need/family formation

\(^{26}\) This figure derives from guidance in CLG document Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessments guidance page 24.
to-date understanding of the likely permanent and transit accommodation needs of their areas over the lifespan of their development plan. Policy B also makes reference to the need for local planning authorities to set pitch and plot targets which address the likely permanent and transit site accommodation needs of travellers.

8.54 In light of the above, a section containing six questions to be asked to transient Gypsies and Travellers has been included in the questionnaire to aid the assessment of the need for transit pitches/sites (Questions 14 - 19).

8.55 Local authorities will also use other information available relating establish the need for transit sites. This includes historical information relating to unauthorised incursions/encampments. Discussions will also be held with representatives from the travelling community to seek their views on the need for transit sites within the local authority area.

8.56 Continued joint working between local authorities within Surrey and elsewhere will continue to address this issue if necessary.
Appendix 1 – Policies A & B in Planning Policy for Traveller Sites (March 2012)

Policy A: Using evidence to plan positively and manage development

6. In assembling the evidence base necessary to support their planning approach, local planning authorities should:

   a) pay particular attention to early and effective community engagement with both settled and traveller communities (including discussing travellers’ accommodation needs with travellers themselves, their representative bodies and local support groups).
   b) co-operate with travellers, their representative bodies and local support groups, other local authorities and relevant interest groups to prepare and maintain an up-to-date understanding of the likely permanent and transit accommodation needs of their areas over the lifespan of their development plan working collaboratively with neighbouring local planning authorities.
   c) use a robust evidence base to establish accommodation needs to inform the preparation of the local plans and make planning decisions

Policy B: Planning for traveller sites

7. Local Plans must be prepared with the objective of contributing to the achievement of sustainable development. To this end, they should be consistent with the policies in the National Planning Policy Framework, including the presumption in favour of sustainable development and the application of specific policies in the Framework and this planning policy for traveller sites.

8. Local planning authorities should set pitch targets for Gypsies and Travellers and plot targets for Travelling Showpeople which address the likely permanent and transit site accommodation needs of travellers in their areas, working collaboratively with neighbouring local planning authorities.

9. Local planning authorities should, in producing their Local Plan:

   a) identify and update annually, a supply of specific deliverable\textsuperscript{27} sites sufficient to provide five years’ worth of sites against their locally set targets.
   b) identify a supply of specific, developable\textsuperscript{28} sites or broad locations for growth, for years six to ten and, where possible, for years 11 – 15.

\textsuperscript{27}To be considered deliverable, sites should be available now, offer a suitable local for development now, and be achievable with a realistic prospect that development will be delivered on the site within five years and in particular that development of the site is viable. Sites with planning permission should be considered deliverable until permission expires, unless there is clear evidence that schemes will not be implemented within five years, for example they will not be viable, there is no longer a demand for the type of units or sites have long term phasing plans.

\textsuperscript{28}To be considered developable, sites should be in a suitable location for traveller site development and there should be a reasonable prospect that the site is available and could be viably development at the point envisaged.
c) consider production of joint development plans that set targets on a cross-authority basis, to provide more flexibility in identifying sites, particularly if a local planning authority has special or strict planning constraints across its area (local planning authorities have a duty to cooperate on planning issues that cross administrative boundaries).

d) relate the number of pitches or plots to the circumstances of the specific size and location of the site and the surrounding population’s size and density.

e) protect local amenity and environment

10. Criteria should be set to guide land supply allocations where there is identified need. Where there is no identified need, criteria-based policies should be included to provide a basis for decisions in case applications nevertheless come forward. Criteria based policies should be fair and should facilitate the traditional and nomadic life of travellers while respecting the interests of the settled community.

11. Local planning authorities should ensure that traveller sites are sustainable economically, socially and environmentally. Local planning authorities should, therefore, ensure that their policies:

   a) promote peaceful and integrated co-existence between the site and the local community
   b) promote, in collaboration with commissioners of health services, access to appropriate health services
   c) ensure that children can attend school on a regular basis
   d) provide a settled base that reduces the need for long-distance travelling and possible environmental damage caused by unauthorised encampment
   e) provide for proper consideration of the effect of local environmental quality (such as noise and air quality) on the health and well-being of any travellers that may locate there or on others as a result of new development
   f) avoid placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services
   g) do not locate sites in areas at high risk of flooding, including functional floodplains, given the particular vulnerability of caravans; and
   h) reflect the extent to which traditional lifestyles (whereby some travellers live and work from the same location thereby omitting many travel to work journeys) can contribute to sustainability
Appendix 2 – Criticisms of previous GTAAs

There were three GTAAs in Surrey: West, East and North, the latter two being undertaken by the same consultants. The main criticisms were as follows:

Generally:

- Insufficient attempt to establish numbers of travellers in bricks and mortar housing;
- Turnover rates had been included as a source of supply; the Panel did not consider these were a significant or reliable source of supply;
- Lack of transit sites at odds with Circular advice.

North Surrey:

- Some of the evidence was sound but was ignored when working out pitch requirements;
- Survey evidence showed overcrowding, but the figures were not used and a 10% figure used instead as an estimate;
- The GTAA underestimated household formation by applying a too high person per household rate;
- The GTAA assumed no need arising from housing, in spite of evidence to the contrary;
- No allowance had been made for needs arising from unauthorised encampments.

West Surrey:

- Overcrowding, concealed households and household growth was calculated using a complex mathematical process, taking no account of expressed needs;
- GTAA assumed no need arising from bricks and mortar housing, in spite of evidence to the contrary;
- It was unsatisfactory to use desktop estimates for needs arising from unauthorised encampments particularly as some families had been interviewed;
- Estimated need figure took no account of needs of families displaced from Surrey who wished to return;
- Accommodation needs of 47 homeless Travelling Showpeople should have been assigned to the three local authorities in which they own land.

East Surrey:

- The GTAA assumed no need arising from bricks and mortar housing, in spite of some evidence to the contrary;
Overcrowding, concealed households and household growth were expressed as a need by those interviewed, the East Surrey group treated this as a preference which did not necessarily reflect need - Panel disagreed with this approach;

Total need from overcrowding, concealed households and household growth underestimated by ignoring survey findings (in an attempt to avoid double counting);

No family formation rate applied to unauthorised pitches;

No evidence that the needs of families in unauthorised developments for which planning permission was not expected were explored.
Appendix 3 – Consultees involved with preparation of questionnaire and methodology

The 11 Surrey Boroughs and Districts:

- Elmbridge Borough Council
- Epsom & Ewell Borough Council
- Guildford Borough Council
- Mole Valley District Council
- Reigate & Banstead Borough Council
- Runnymede Borough Council
- Spelthorne Borough Council
- Surrey Heath Borough Council
- Tandridge District Council
- Waverley Borough Council
- Woking Borough Council

Including officers from housing, planning, and site liaison officers.

- Surrey County Council – Estates Planning & Management

- Derbyshire Gypsy Liaison Group
- Friends, Families and Travellers
- Gypsy Council
- Showmen’s Guild
- Surrey Gypsy and Traveller Community Relations Forum
- The Irish Traveller Movement in Britain
- The Traveller Law Reform Project
- Voluntary Action – South West Surrey
Appendix 4 - Sample Questionnaire

TRAVELLERS ACCOMMODATION ASSESSMENT QUESTIONNAIRE

Site/Address: ..................................................................................................................................................

Pitch No./Name: ................................................................................................................................................

FOR QUESTIONNAIRES CONDUCTED ON-SITE PLEASE MARK ON THE ATTACHED SITE PLAN WHICH
PITCHES/PLOTS THE RESPONDENT RESIDES ON.

Is the Pitch Occupied: Yes (    ) No (    ) Don’t know (    )

If vacant is the occupier travelling: Yes (    ) No (    ) Don’t know (    )
Check with site manager/neighbouring pitches

When are the occupiers due back: ..................................................................................................................

Name of respondent: .......................................................................................................................................

Date of Interview: ........../....../....... 

Data Protection

Your personal information will be held and used in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. The
Council will not disclose such information to any unauthorised person or body but where appropriate will
use such information in carrying out its various functions and services. No personal information relating to
specific individual will be made public, and no individual will be identifiable through the description of
where or how they live or their other circumstances.

INTERVIEWER’S DECLARATION

I certify that this interview was carried out according to xxxxxx Borough/District Council’s instructions and
with the MRS Code of Conduct.

SIGNATURE: _________________________________
PRINT NAME: _________________________________
NO: ______________________ DATE: _________________________________
Q1. ETHNICITY OF RESPONDENT
Romany ( ) Irish Traveller ( ) Traveller ( ) Showman ( ) Circus People ( )
New Age Traveller ( ) Other ( ) please state ..........................................................
Response not provided ( )

Q2. COMPOSITION OF HOUSEHOLD
Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relationship to Respondent (e.g. son, daughter, grandchild)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Year of Birth or Current Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respondent</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q3. DOES THIS HOUSEHOLD OCCUPY MORE THAN ONE PITCH?
If ‘yes’ please insert the number of pitches the household occupies.
Yes ( ) No ( ) Response not provided ( )

Q4. IS THE PITCH SHARED WITH ANOTHER HOUSEHOLD(S)?
1 ( ) 2 ( ) 3+ ( ) No ( ) Response not provided ( )

Q5. IF THE PITCH IS SHARED WITH ANOTHER HOUSEHOLD, HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN ‘DOUBLED-UP’ FOR?
------- Months ------- Years
Response not provided ( )

Q6. DETAILS OF CURRENT ACCOMMODATION?
Local Authority site pitch/plot ( )
Privately owned site pitch/plot ( ) i.e. Own land with planning permission
Unauthorised development ( ) i.e. Own land without planning permission
Unauthorised encampment ( ) i.e. Not own land and without planning permission
Conventional bricks and mortar housing ( )
Side of the road ( )
Other ( ) please state ..........................................................
Q7. TYPE AND SIZE OF CURRENT ACCOMMODATION? (insert number and circle size)

House ( ) 1 2 3 4 5 or more bedrooms
Mobile Home/Chalet ( ) 1 2 3 4 bedrooms
Touring Caravan ( ) 1 2 3 4 bedrooms
Other ( ) please state ............................

Q8. LENGTH OF TIME AT CURRENT ADDRESS?

Less than 1 year ( )
More than 1 year but less than 5 years ( )
More than 5 years ( )
Response not provided ( )

Q8a. IF LESS THAN 1 YEAR, WHERE WERE YOU LIVING BEFORE?

Address/Site: .........................................................................................................................

Response not provided ( )

Q9. ARE YOU INTENDING TO MOVE FROM THIS PITCH IN THE NEXT FIVE YEARS?

Yes ( ) No ( ) Response not provided ( )

Q9a. IF YES, WHERE ARE YOU THINKING OF MOVING TO?

Location: ....................................................................................................................................

Response not provided ( )

Office Use Only:

Based on the response to Q9a please identify the local authority area e.g. Staines would be Spelthorne, Godalming would be Waverley.

Elmbridge ( ) Epsom & Ewell ( ) Guildford ( ) Mole Valley ( ) Reigate & Banstead ( )
Runnymede ( ) Spelthorne ( ) Surrey Heath ( ) Tandridge ( ) Waverley ( )
Woking ( )

West Sussex ( ) Hampshire ( ) Kent ( ) Outer London ( ) Central London ( )
Elsewhere in the South East ( ) Elsewhere in the UK ( ) Abroad ( )

Notes: ...........................................................................................................................................

Q10. IF YOU ARE PLANNING TO MOVE, WHAT WOULD BE YOUR PREFERRED ACCOMMODATION/TENURE?
Affordable/Social Housing ( ) Local Authority Pitch/Plot ( ) Private Pitch/Plot ( )
Privately owned home ( ) Privately rented home ( ) Response not provided ( )
Q11. ARE ANY MEMBERS OF YOUR HOUSEHOLD LIKELY TO REQUIRE THEIR OWN PITCH/PLOT IN THIS DISTRICT/BOROUGH IN THE PERIOD TO 2017 I.E THE NEXT 5 YEARS?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Household</th>
<th>Relationship to the Respondent</th>
<th>Estimated Year Required</th>
<th>Type of Accommodation Required*</th>
<th>Is the Household registered on a site waiting list or housing register**</th>
<th>Where is the Accommodation Required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Example</td>
<td>Son and Daughter-in-law</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Leatherhead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Type of Accommodation:

A. Affordable/Social Housing
B. Local Authority Pitch/Plot
C. Private Pitch/Plot
D. Privately owned home
E. Privately rented home
F. Response not provided

Office Use Only:

Based on the response to Q11 ‘where is the accommodation required’ please identify the local authority area e.g. Staines would be Spelthorne, Godalming would be Waverley.

Elmbridge ( ) Epsom & Ewell ( ) Guildford ( ) Mole Valley ( ) Reigate & Banstead ( ) Runnymede ( ) Spelthorne ( ) Surrey Heath ( ) Tandridge ( ) Waverley ( ) Woking ( )

West Sussex ( ) Hampshire ( ) Kent ( ) Outer London ( ) Central London ( ) Elsewhere in the South East ( ) Elsewhere in the UK ( ) Abroad ( )

Notes:
Other Questions

Q12. DO YOU HAVE ANY LAND THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO BE CONSIDERED FOR A NEW SITE/ADDITIONAL PITCH?

Yes (   ) No (   ) Response not provided (   )

Location/Address:....................................................................................................................................................
....................................................................................................................................................

Additional Questions to Ask to Travelling Showpeople

Q13. IS THERE SUFFICIENT PROVISION AVAILABLE FOR STORAGE OF EQUIPMENT?

Yes (   ) No (   ) Response not provided (   )

Additional Questions to Ask to Transient Gypsies and Travellers

Q14. WHERE HAVE YOU TRAVELLED FROM (LAST ACCOMMODATION)?

Location/Address:....................................................................................................................................................

Response not provided (   )

Q15. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR VISIT TO THE BOROUGH/DISTRICT? (for example visiting family or for work opportunities).

....................................................................................................................................................

Response not provided (   )

Q16. WHY DID YOU TAKE UP OCCUPATION OF THIS PARTICULAR SITE (unauthorised encampments)?

....................................................................................................................................................

Response not provided (   )

Q17. DO YOU HAVE A BASE ELSEWHERE?

Location/Address:....................................................................................................................................................

Response not provided (   )
Q18. HOW LONG DO YOU INTEND TO REMAIN ON THIS LANDSITE?

...................................................................................................................................................

Response not provided ( )

Q19. IF YOU ARE REQUIRED TO VACATE THIS LANDSITE, WHERE WILL YOU RELOCATE TO?

...................................................................................................................................................

Response not provided ( )

Have you any comments relevant to this questionnaire or any other issues that you wish noted?

Comments:

Please continue on a separate sheet if necessary

YOUR ASSISTANCE IN ANSWERING THESE QUESTIONS IN MUCH APPRECIATED

Note for Interviewer – leave contact details if the respondent knows of others who are in bricks and mortar who would be happy to be interviewed.

Comments on the interview
Understanding your accommodation needs; now and in the future

Calling on all Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople in Runnymede Borough
We need your help

Runnymede Borough Council wants to understand the accommodation needs of Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Showpeople living in, or moving through the Borough.

We therefore need to understand how many pitches and plots are needed now and in the future to meet the accommodation needs of our travelling community. This will help us plan for the future.

Household surveys

We think that the best way to find out more about your needs is to come and talk to you directly. As such, the Council has employed Friends, Families and Travellers to carry out face to face interviews with the Borough’s travelling community.

Friends, Families and Travellers are a national charity that works on behalf of all Gypsies and Travellers regardless of ethnicity, culture or background.

The interviewers will ask you a series of questions about your household and your current and future housing needs. None of your personal information will be made public. The interview will take about 30-45 minutes to complete.

When will the surveys take place?

Interviewers will visit households during March and April. We would urge you to speak with the interviewers so that the Council can understand and plan for your future needs. Your comments are very important to us.

Any questions?

If you would like any more information about the work that the Council is carrying out, please contact Georgina Pacey at Runnymede Borough Council on 01932 425248 or email georgina.pacey@runnymede.gov.uk.

Spread the word

If you have any friends or family living in the Borough who might be interested in taking part in the interview process, so we can assess their current and future accommodation needs, please ask them to get in touch with Georgina. She will be happy to talk to them about the process and ensure that they are included.
Appendix C

Friends, Families and Travellers

1. **Introduction**

1.1 Runnymede Borough Council is carrying out an assessment of the accommodation needs of the Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople communities in the District and commissioned Friends, Families and Travellers (FFT) to carry out interviews with members of those communities.

1.2 Friends, Families and Travellers is a national charity (no. 1112326) based in Brighton which works on behalf of all Gypsies and Travellers regardless of ethnicity, culture or background. The organisation was founded in 1994 and thus has some 19 years experience of working with and on behalf of Gypsies and Travellers.

1.3 For the purposes of this survey we deployed Charmaine Valler, a Community Engagement Worker based in Surrey and Ann Wilson, both of whom are from the Romany Gypsy community and who have an extensive knowledge of the travelling communities living in, and resorting to, Surrey.

1.4 Charmaine and Ann carried out the survey work during March - May 2013 and were directly line managed by FFT’s Director, Chris Whitwell.

2. **Gypsies and Travellers in the UK**

2.1 It is not the purpose of this report to provide detailed evidence of the general position of Gypsies and Travellers in the UK but it may be helpful to provide a degree of context in order to clarify and explain some of the obstacles that may be encountered when seeking to undertake a survey of travelling communities for the purposes of a needs assessment.

2.2 There is no reliable figure for the number of Gypsies and Travellers in the UK. They were included in the Census for the first time in 2011 and 57,680 participants identified their ethnicity as ‘Romany Gypsy or Irish Traveller’. However this is believed to be a massive undercount and it is thought that there are between 200,000 and 300,000 Gypsies and Travellers living in the UK (excluding newly arrived Roma from central Europe). This is the figure adopted by the former Commission for Racial Equality and the Council of Europe. Leading academics Dr Colin Clark and Dr Margaret Greenfields have estimated the figure at 250,000 (*Here to Stay: the Gypsies and Travellers of Britain; University of Hertfordshire Press 2006*).

2.3 The majority of Gypsies and Travellers now live in bricks and mortar accommodation and many are reluctant to disclose their ethnicity due to the fear of discrimination. Of the 100,000 or so living in caravans and trailers around one fifth to one quarter have no authorised site on which to place their home. In other words between 20,000 and 25,000 people are unable to exist legally anywhere – every place that they stop is
somewhere where they are not allowed to be and they are subjected to repeated evictions from one place to another. This process of repeated evictions costs the UK taxpayer an estimated £18 million per annum.

2.4 So far as Surrey is concerned the 2011 Census recorded 2,261 Gypsies and Travellers living in the County (220 in Runnymede itself) although this is not an accurate reflection of the true numbers and the actual Surrey figure is thought to be closer to 10,000. This figure was cited from the Department of Communities and Local Government bi-annual caravan count in July 2012. (https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gypsy-and-traveller-caravan-count-july-2012)

2.5 The above information is given to illustrate the importance, in economic as well as human terms, of making proper provision to meet the shortfall that exists, and those local authorities that are seeking to discharge their responsibilities by undertaking careful and robust needs assessments are to be commended.

2.6 But it also provides some explanation of the enormous degree of disenchantment and disengagement felt by the travelling communities. The shortage of appropriate sites is not a new phenomenon but has existed for many years, and has led over time to something of a breakdown of trust and confidence from the travelling communities in authorities such as the police and local Councils who tend to be viewed with suspicion as ‘enforcers’ rather than as service providers. The mistrust that has accumulated over decades cannot be remedied overnight but requires ongoing and sustained relationship-building between the local authorities and the travelling communities.

3. **The Runnymede Survey work**

3.1 Runnymede Borough Council helpfully provided FFT with a schedule of known sites together with relevant site plans. This indicated the generally dispersed nature of the Gypsy and Traveller communities within the Borough. The Council’s schedule indicated nearly 50 locations where pitches had been identified but most of these accommodated only one or two households and only 7 sites had 10 or more households.

3.2 The surveyors encountered a lot of difficulty in persuading the occupiers of some of the sites to participate in the survey and, despite repeated attempts and visits to encourage participation, a disappointingly high number of households refused to do so.

3.3 Of all the questionnaire interviews completed only seven were with housed Gypsies and Travellers. This was despite the FFT surveyors having identified and visited nearly 50 such households. It should be noted that most of the housed Gypsies and Travellers were very long established within their properties, some of them having lived in the
same property for 30 years or more. Among the reasons given for not participating in the survey were –

- Not wanting to damage relationships with their neighbours by being identified as a Gypsy or Traveller.
- Not wanting their children to be identified as Gypsies or Travellers, so running the risk of prejudice and discrimination.
- That they felt that they had integrated into the community and did not want to become ‘visible’ as Gypsies and Travellers.
- That they would not want to live on a site even if one became available.

Some of the households contacted went so far as to deny that they were from the travelling communities, even though the FFT surveyors knew otherwise.

3.4 In a handful of cases (approximately 8) the surveyors were not able to make contact with the household they visited either because the residents were away from home or due to serious family illness/bereavement where it would have been intrusive to proceed with making contact.

3.5 Runnymede Borough has a relatively large community of Travelling Showpeople with long established sites at Free Prae Road in Chertsey, Thorpe Lea Cottage in Thorpe, and Woburn Park Farm in Addlestone.

3.6 The Free Prae Road site has been in use as a Travelling Showpeople site for over 80 years and two of the residents, in their 70s and early 80s were born there. Over the years the use of parts of the site has changed and appears now to be put to a variety of residential, light industrial and storage uses in addition to its use as a site to accommodate Travelling Showpeople and equipment.

3.7 Were it possible to relocate some of these additional uses it might be possible to free up some of the land to accommodate additional pitches for Travelling Showpeople and this is an avenue that the Council may wish to explore at the appropriate stage.

3.8 A number of questionnaires were completed on the Thorpe Lea Cottage site but the residents of Woburn Park Farm refused to participate in the survey despite visits by the FFT surveyors on four separate occasions. It is estimated that there are around 30 households on Woburn Park Farm.

3.9 Two of the larger sites visited are occupied by Irish Travellers (Walnut Tree Farm, estimated to accommodate around 20 households) or by a mixture of Irish Travellers and Gypsies (Little Almners, estimated to accommodate around 10 households). The site owner would not participate in the survey but claimed that this was not due to non-cooperation but because they are awaiting the outcome of a hearing regarding the redesign of the sites.
3.10 As a result only two of the respondents participating in the survey were from the Irish Traveller community.

3.11 In total 64 questionnaire responses were collected. Only two of these were from housed Gypsies/Travellers. Of the 64 questionnaires, 37 were from Romany Gypsies, 21 from Travelling Showpeople, 2 from Irish Travellers, 1 from a resident of a Travelling Showpeople site describing herself as a 'Traveller'. In two cases the ethnicity of the respondent was not recorded and on one questionnaire no information at all was recorded apart from the name of the respondent.

3.12 Six residents completed the 'site allocations form' suggesting possibilities for making provision for additional sites. It will be for the Borough Council to follow up and consider these suggestions.

3.13 Some of the residents on the County owned sites were experiencing serious overcrowding with doubling up of families on some of the pitches. There was the expectation that additional pitches were to be provided on these sites to accommodate the need but some residents felt disillusioned that promises to provide additional pitches had not been fulfilled.

4. Conclusions and next steps

4.1 There are a number of issues arising from the survey which we should like to bring to the Council's attention.

4.2 The Runnymede survey is the fifth that the FFT surveyors have carried out on behalf of Surrey Councils over the past year and was by far the most problematic. We would point out that this was in no way the fault of the Council or its officers who have been extremely helpful throughout the survey process and have shown a real willingness to understand the barriers that our survey team have encountered.

4.3 It does seem however that there has been a gradual process over the years of breakdown in communications between the Council and the travelling communities and that proactive work needs to be done to start to build bridges between the Council and the communities. The fact that there was such a low willingness to engage with the survey appeared to our surveyors to be attributable to a general disbelief that anything positive would result from such engagement. This may have been, in part, attributable to a perceived lack of action by the County Council in respect of sites within the Borough which it has responsibility of managing.

4.4 It is not our remit, nor our responsibility, to identify the possible causes of this extent of disengagement but we would suggest that the Council seeks to address the issue. There are a number of initiatives that the Council might consider to seek to foster better relations with the travelling communities and we would be happy to advise the Council
about steps that might be taken. One option that could be considered is appropriate awareness training for Council members and staff.

4.5 Please note, as previously stated, that these comments are in no way intended to be a criticism of the Council or its officers from whom we have had the highest degree of cooperation, a willingness to engage with and help our surveyors in their work, and a good understanding of the difficulties that they encountered along the way.

Chris Whitwell
Director
Friends, Families and Travellers

June 2013
## Appendix D

### Appendix D: Countywide data on public sites waiting list

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of application</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Name of applicant(s &amp; contact details)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>07/07/2008</td>
<td>The Oaks</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26/02/2009</td>
<td>Conifer Park, Kiln Lane</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/09/2009</td>
<td>Brambledown</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/09/2009</td>
<td>Salvation Place</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17/05/2010</td>
<td>Travellers Rest</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/06/2010</td>
<td>Elm Farm</td>
<td>2 3 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/10/2010</td>
<td>The Paddocks</td>
<td>4 2 6 3 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/11/2010</td>
<td>Conifer Park, Dorking</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/05/2011</td>
<td>Littleton Lane</td>
<td>5 4 6 3 2 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/06/2011</td>
<td>Spelthorne</td>
<td>3 2 4 6 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/06/2011</td>
<td>Kalima</td>
<td>6 3 5 4 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23/08/2011</td>
<td>Swift Lane</td>
<td>2 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07/09/2011</td>
<td>Pendel</td>
<td>1 4 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Woking</td>
<td>5 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>14/11/2011</td>
<td>16/04/2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/11/2011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/04/2012</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24/04/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/05/2012</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25/04/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/06/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/07/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17/10/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01/11/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/11/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/11/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23/11/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/12/2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/02/2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25/02/2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04/03/2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/08/2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/08/2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/08/2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/01/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/01/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/02/2014</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/02/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/02/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06/02/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/02/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/02/2014</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/02/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/02/2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix E

Appendix E: Estimation of number of travellers living in Runnymede

Gypsies and Irish travellers on sites:

The way officers calculated the actual number was to identify the number of pitches per site, the average number of people on each pitch where an interview was conducted. Any pitches on a site where interviews were not undertaken, officers used the average people per pitch calculated to work out the total number of people living on a site. Where no interviews had taken place, the average number of people per pitch/plot/house across all of the interviews was used for a number of types. For public sites, the average was 3.55 people per pitch; for private sites, the average was 3.55 people per pitch; for Travelling Showpeople, the average was 2.57 people per plot and for housed travellers, the average was 3.67 people per dwelling.

The following table estimates the number of travellers residing in Runnymede. All figures have been rounded to the nearest whole.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>No. pitches onsite (includes winter quarters)</th>
<th>No. of households where interviews carried out</th>
<th>Pitches not reached through interviews</th>
<th>Average family size as identified through interviews (where no interviews conducted on site, average used [as above])</th>
<th>Additional people estimated to not have been reached through interviews (rounded)</th>
<th>Total no. people estimated on each site (including those interviewed)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Private sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eden Farm</td>
<td>8 (6 permanent, 2 temporary)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>(7x3.55) 25</td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walnut Tree Farm</td>
<td>20 based on appeal 01E00057</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>(16x3.55) 57</td>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Almners</td>
<td>(20 caravans) 10 pitches</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>(7x3.55) 25</td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>No. pitches onsite (includes winter quarters)</td>
<td>No. of households where interviews carried out</td>
<td>Pitches not reached through interviews</td>
<td>Average family size as identified through interviews (where no interviews conducted on site, average used [as above])</td>
<td>Additional people estimated to not have been reached through interviews (rounded)</td>
<td>Total no. people estimated on each site (including those interviewed)</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Oak Farm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>(1*3.55) 4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenacres Farm</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>(5*3.55) 18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Willow Farm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warren Yard (caravan)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>info based on 2012 appeal statement</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Aymer Close</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glenville Farm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>information given by case officer</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Trees</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111A Almners Road</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>(1*3.55) 4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest Gate Farm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
- Household living in house is considered in bricks/mortar section.
- Appeal statement did not give an accurate picture, so case officer did.
- Even though not interviewed, FFT confirmed only one person lives in caravan not interviewed.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>No. pitches onsite (includes winter quarters)</th>
<th>No. of households where interviews carried out</th>
<th>Pitches not reached through interviews</th>
<th>Average family size as identified through interviews (where no interviews conducted on site, average used [as above])</th>
<th>Additional people estimated to not have been reached through interviews (rounded)</th>
<th>Total no. people estimated on each site (including those interviewed)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lynns Park</td>
<td>Not included as weren't there at the time</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>206 Chertsey Lane</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>(1*3.55) 4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Unable to get the total number of people from 2008 appeal statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>224</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Paddocks</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8 households over 8 pitches</td>
<td>10 households over 7 pitches</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>(10*3.55) 36</td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elm Farm</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14 households over 11 pitches</td>
<td>4 households over 4 pitches</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>(4*3.55) 14</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>128</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travelling Showpeople</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>No. pitches onsite (includes winter quarters)</td>
<td>No. of households where interviews carried out</td>
<td>Pitches not reached through interviews</td>
<td>Average family size as identified through interviews (where no interviews conducted on site, average used [as above])</td>
<td>Additional people estimated to not have been reached through interviews (rounded)</td>
<td>Total no. people estimated on each site (including those interviewed)</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steven’s Yard</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>(2*2.57) 5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Granted planning permission although Council tax said only business rates are currently charged on the site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfields</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>(17*2.57) 44</td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woburn Park Farm</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>(13*2.57) 33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorpe Lea Cottage</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>(7*2.57) 18</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54 Mead Lane</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>154</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Bricks and Mortar**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total</th>
<th>50 in borough</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>41</th>
<th>3.67</th>
<th>150</th>
<th>183</th>
<th>identified by FFT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>689</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

257 people were identified through the questionnaires and officers calculate (above) the traveller population in Runnymede is 2.68 times bigger than this figure. To add support to this figure, the previous 2007 north surrey GTAA estimated 461 travellers living in Runnymede and applying growth and turnover,
it would indicate that the population in Runnymede has risen to between 500-600 at the current time, which is far closer to 689 than 220 as set out in the 2011 Census.
Appendix F

Appendix F: Calculating accommodation need for years 6-15

- Applying 3% annual compound population growth for Gypsies and Travellers (in Surrey Methodology, taken from guidance in DCLG document Gypsy and Traveller Accommodation Needs Assessments guidance, page 24)

- Applying 1.3% annual turnover for public sites-taken from data supplied by County. Concurrent growth and turnover for public sites is 3%-1.3% (1.7%)

- Applying 0.6% turnover rate for private sites-the same proportion smaller than the public figure turnover in the 2007 figures provided by the North Surrey GTAA Steering Group agreed by officers and representatives from Gypsy and Traveller Community and Travelling Showpeople. Concurrent growth and turnover for private sites is 3%-0.6% (2.4%)

- Applying 1.5% annual compound population growth for Travelling Showpeople (in Surrey Methodology, taken from the unpublished Panel Report for the South East Plan. See para. 14.122 – it is a figure which was agreed between SEERA/SEEPB and the Showmen’s Guild and fed into the Option A figures).

- 0% turnover applied to Travelling Showpeople in the absence of any data (the Showmen’s Guild and FFT were unable to assist)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Gypsies&amp;Travellers (public) (1.7% annual increase)</th>
<th>Gypsies&amp;Travellers (private) (2.4% annual increase)</th>
<th>Travelling Showpeople (1.5% annual increase)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current supply of sites(steps A-C) plus shortfall</td>
<td>2013 66 (30+36)</td>
<td>2014 85 (45+40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2015 63 (59+4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>67.12</td>
<td>87.04</td>
<td>63.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>68.26</td>
<td>89.13</td>
<td>64.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>69.42</td>
<td>91.27</td>
<td>65.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>93.46</td>
<td>66.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>71.8</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>67.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>73.02</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>68.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>2021</td>
<td>2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>74.27</td>
<td>75.53</td>
<td>76.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100.35</td>
<td>102.76</td>
<td>105.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>69.92</td>
<td>70.97</td>
<td>72.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 year need</td>
<td>19 (85-66)</td>
<td>36.5 (121.5-85)</td>
<td>16 (79-63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>family formation in years 1-5 (minus from 15 year calculation) (from TAA data)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total need (years 6-15) (rounded up to whole)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix G

Appendix G: explanation of calculating number of travellers living on Fairfields Travelling Showpersons site

FFT were unable to gain access on to the Fairfields site in order to be able to carry out any interviews. In addition, the Showmen’s Guild was unable to assist with providing any useful data to assist officers in their calculations.

The site has been lived on by Travelling Showpeople since the 1940s and so the use on the site is considered authorised despite never having received planning permission.

In estimating the number of plots on the Fairfields site, officers have gained information through looking at Council tax records as a starting point and using the names given from these records have undertaken online research of Travelling Showpeoples’ names to identify which plots are believed to be occupied by Travelling Showpeople.
Appendix H: Level of response to the TAA interviews

The following table utilises the findings of appendix E to calculate the level of response to the TAA interviews

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. of Gypsy and Travellers (individuals)</th>
<th>No. of Travelling Showpeople (individuals)</th>
<th>% of total people identified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Gypsy, Traveller and Travelling Showpeople households identified</td>
<td>535 of 689(^1)</td>
<td>154 of 689</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full interviews completed</td>
<td>49 of 73(^2)</td>
<td>23 of 73</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of people accounted for through interviews</td>
<td>199 of 257(^3)</td>
<td>58 of 257</td>
<td>37.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial interviews completed</td>
<td>0 of 73</td>
<td>1 of 73</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No one available for interview(^4)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^1\) 689- number of individuals estimated to live in Runnymede
\(^2\) 73- number of interviews that took place.
\(^3\) 257- number of individual identified through questionnaires
\(^4\) Taken from FFT summary report
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