

**Runnymede Borough Council**

**PLANNING COMMITTEE**

**Wednesday 16th September 2009 at 7.30pm**

**A D D E N D U M**

**PLANNING APPLICATIONS**

**1. Land at Milton Park Farm, Stroude Road, Virginia Water – RU.09/0299**

**Reasons for  
Objection:**

Line 4 add 'Great' before 'Fosters'  
Line 6 delete last word 'or' and replace by 'and'.

---

**2. Land rear of 212 Chertsey Lane, Staines – RU.09/0523**

**Consultations:**

Paragraph 4.1 – A further letter has been received from a local resident at 216 Chertsey Lane stating that they 'object' to the application. They state that 'The activities on this site have increased extensively over the past four years. Vehicles visiting and leaving this site have reached an unacceptable level and cannot be tolerated in a residential area.'

Also, commercial vehicles are being parked on the road and are a highway safety hazard. Vehicles are being offered 'For Sale' and are parked there for days on end.

**Comment**

The letter adds to the comments made by the residents of both 210 and 214 Chertsey Lane in that activities have increased within the last 4 years although again the letter lacks any specific detail other than a stated increase in vehicles movements to and from the site. The issue of vehicles being parked on the highway and offered for sale is taking place outside of the application site and as such is a separate issue to the determination of this application.

---

**3. The Farm Shop, Hardwick Lane, Lyne RU.09/0690**

**Planning  
Considerations:**

Paragraph 5.7, line 5 - after 'could' insert ' not'

# A P P E N D I X

1. Lawful Use Certificate for Existing Hardstanding and Service Roads at Padd Farm, Hurst Lane, Egham – RU.09/0194

**Consultations:** One letter of objection representing 16 households of Hurst Lane has been received, summarised below:

- The hardstanding and service roads facilitate Padd Farm existing commercial activities, reduce the holdings agricultural viability and enable the site to develop into a larger industrial estate.
- Alternative uses on hardstanding and service roads conflict with the purposes of the Green Belt and are harmful to openness eroding this for ever.
- Causes problems in the floodplain.
- Vehicles block the narrow Hurst Lane and have damaged many problems. Property values have generally declined and residents have been unable to sell;
- The photographic evidence is not up to date taken from 2003 and does not give a realistic view of the current site situation;
- The land needs to be returned to its former state;
- Many of the hardstandings are already controlled by existing active enforcement action (reference made to 2005 Planning Committee). Since 1982 the site has been subject to enforcement action which is still in effect.

**Response:**

The concerns relating to the Green Belt, agricultural viability, the floodplain, traffic effects and impacts upon amenity are not applicable to this application (as explained at paragraph 5.1 on the agenda). The current aerial photography isn't relevant as the applicant is seeking to prove that the hardstanding and service roads were substantially completed 4 years before the date of this application i.e. by 6<sup>th</sup> December 2004. The relevant enforcement history is provided in section 2 of the report.

---

## A G E N D A I T E M S

---