

Runnymede Borough Council

PLANNING COMMITTEE

Wednesday 16th July 2008 at 7.30pm

A D D E N D U M

P L A N N I N G A P P L I C A T I O N S

1. **Page 1** **Land r/o 6 & 8 Pinewood Grove, New Haw RU.08/0530**
- Recommendation:** The recommendation is to refuse the application on the grounds that it was not supported by a completed unilateral undertaking in respect of the infrastructure tariff. The arrangement introduced on 1st April 2008 specified that an application would not be validated if, amongst other matters, it was not accompanied by a signed unilateral agreement (UA). The applicant was advised of this requirement on several occasions. The applicant was advised that without a signed UA the application would be recommended for refusal. However, he requested that the application be registered.
- The signed UA was received on 7th July 2008, several days after the final report was prepared for Committee. This does now overcome the reason for the refusal.
- On the basis that the applicant has now satisfied the requirement to submit a UA the Committee may wish to confirm that applications will normally be refused where the validation process has not been complied with.
- If the Committee are minded to recommend approval then the Director of Technical Services, in consultation with the Chairman and Vice Chairman, be authorised to agree conditions to be attached to the approval.
-
2. **Page 10** **Land at Trumps Farm, Kitsmead Lane, Virginia Water (RU.08/0556)**
- Consultations:**
- Para 4.2:** Further comments have been received from Borough Environmental Services following an assessment of document AC2818 dated May 2008 confirming that they still raise objections to the proposals.
- Para 4.3:** Formal comments have been received from the County Highway Authority who recommend conditional approval.
- Recommendation:** Add new sentence to objection 3 at the beginning as follows:
- “The County Council has had an opportunity to undertake a trial on the Trumps Farm site for green waste composting in accordance with an earlier application. This would have enabled the issues associated with the process to be fully considered prior to a permanent site being established.”
-